

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EDWARD A. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

MERRICK B. GARLAND et al.,

Defendants.<sup>1</sup>

Civil Action No. 17-CV-2641

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

AND NOW, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, upon consideration of Plaintiff's Fourth Motion for Summary Judgment, all supporting documents, and any responses hereto, it is hereby ORDERED that the Plaintiff's Motion is DENIED. Summary judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff Edward A. Williams.

The Clerk of the Court shall mark this case CLOSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

BY THE COURT:

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE JOHN MILTON YOUNGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

<sup>1</sup> Hon. Merrick B. Garland, Steven M. Dettelbach, and Christopher Wray are automatically substituted as Defendants in this action pursuant to Rule 25(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *See* ECF No. 55 at 1 n.1.